

Gravatt, Dan

From: Tapia, Cecilia
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 7:38 AM
To: Hoefer, David; Field, Jeff
Cc: Peterson, Mary; Campbell, Todd; Carey, Curtis; Jackson, Robert W.; Gravatt, Dan
Subject: RE: Dave is the BMAC stuff considered Lit Hold ?

Yes, we've been charging our time to site assessment.

Thanks
Cecilia

From: Hoefer, David
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2014 7:23 AM
To: Field, Jeff; Tapia, Cecilia
Cc: Peterson, Mary; Campbell, Todd; Carey, Curtis; Jackson, Robert W.; Gravatt, Dan
Subject: RE: Dave is the BMAC stuff considered Lit Hold ?

Please treat it as lit hold for the time being. If the samples confirm that there are no actionable exceedances at BMAC, I think that we can treat this as a "side project" really unrelated to the site, and release the lit hold as to materials pertaining to BMAC. In the meantime, out of an abundance of caution, treat it as lit hold.

As a side note, clearly none of the BMAC work would be considered "oversight" under our orders.

From: Field, Jeff
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 4:03 PM
To: Tapia, Cecilia; Hoefer, David
Cc: Peterson, Mary; Campbell, Todd; Carey, Curtis; Jackson, Robert W.
Subject: Re: Dave is the BMAC stuff considered Lit Hold ?

Good question.

From: Tapia, Cecilia
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 3:53:41 PM
To: Hoefer, David
Cc: Peterson, Mary; Field, Jeff; Campbell, Todd; Carey, Curtis; Jackson, Robert W.
Subject: Dave is the BMAC stuff considered Lit Hold ?

0714



3.0

0001